



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

CORRESPONDENCE.

A Method of Obtaining a Temporary Stability of Names.

TO THE EDITORS OF 'THE AUK':

Dear Sirs:—It is within the power of the A. O. U. Committee on Nomenclature to mitigate, temporarily at least, the inconvenience of frequent changing of names and by a simple method to which the most hardened nomenclatural sinner can hardly object. It is by issuing a Check-List once every ten years without the intervening supplements which now so soon make it a thing of shreds and patches even for those who find time to post up their copies. The Check-List mirrors the Committee's approval of certain names and there are many earnest workers who have use for them, but workmen obliged to change their tools too often are not likely to do the best work, especially when the new tools are no better than the old. The latest name is a matter of concern to a very few, an available name is of great use to many. The proposed periods of quiet with distinct times of changes are not incompatible with advance, for facts do not alter with the years and too much change only creates confusion and clogs advance. If, then, a species has for fifty years rested in one genus nobody except the disturber of its rest need be in a hurry to put it in another, nor does a name buried a hundred years in an old volume suffer impairment if allowed to slumber a few years longer, more or less. So to, in the matter of new races, prompt ruling seems undesirable, for it makes them neither better nor worse, and time alone, with further investigation, is required to bring out their real value.

This is no reflection on the good work the Committee has done, but I believe all its judicial thunder might better be saved up for big periodical explosions rather than for small frequent ones. A Check-List in 1910 and at the end of each succeeding decade would disturb no vital principle and such a course might add further dignity and force to the decisions. It seems to me that this is often enough to furnish a new set of tools and I think that there would be less complaint of the instability of nomenclature if the Committee would not rule out or adopt a Check-List name except at stated intervals.

I remain,

Yours very truly,

JONATHAN DWIGHT, JR.